

Meeting 14 - March 26, 2025

APFO Committee Voting							
Vote #	Meeting #	Motion Wording	Motion Made By	Seconded By	Pass Tally	Opposition Tally	P/F
10	14	Move to allow amendments of motions from APFO committee members not just the member who made the original member	Paul Gleichauf	Jen Mallo	14	1	P
11	14	Move to Adopt Robert's Rules Basics	Laura Jones	Brent Loveless	15	0	P
12	14	AMENDMENT 1 TO MOTION 11: Replace the APFO schools test with a Utilization Premium Payment (UPP) fee modeled after the system used in Montgomery County, so that instead of a required wait time, developers of residential units are charged an additional fee calculated by applying a UPP factor to Howard County's existing school surcharge fee when the development's impact on the projected school utilization of the assigned schools exceeds adequacy thresholds. The payment factor percentages are to use a similar tier percentage as Montgomery County and the adequacy thresholds (utilization and seat deficit standards) should be developed using the Montgomery County approach tailored to Howard County data.	Jeremy Dommu	Laura Jones	12	3	P
13	14	AMENDMENT 2 TO MOTION 11: to modify the prior original motion that surcharge replaces surcharge, that similar that replaces same and that tailored to Howard County data is added.	Dan Lubeley	Laura Jones	15	0	P
14	14	AMENDMENT 3 TO MOTION 11: After the words (adequacy and threshold) as derived by Howard County LRC.	Lisa Markovitz	Jen Mallo	7	8	F

Vote 14 Opposition View: The opposition view not supportive because of a previous motion to solidify LRC as part of the criteria for the adequacy testing did not pass. Members who did not vote in favor wanted to make sure the LRC was maintained as the standard of measurement. Another opposing viewpoint was from a member that all the school PTAs in Howard County did not want this.